RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA

* * * * * * * *

Taken before SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court
Reporter and Commissioner for Alabama at Large, at
Building 215, Fort McClellan, Alabama, on the 21st day
of May, 2001, commencing at approximately 6:30 p.m.

SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES	2
REPORTER'S INDEX	
CAPTION SHEET 1	
REPORTER'S INDEX 2	
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD 3-49	

CERTIFICATE 50-51

1	DR. BARRY COX: We ready to get
2	started? Mr. Ryan is here. Mr. Hood? Mr. Beckett?
3	Mr. Branchfield?
4	MR. CRAIG BRANCHFIELD: Here.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Buford?
6	MR. JAMES BUFORD: Here.
7	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Clendenin?
8	Mr. Conroy?
9	MR. PETE CONROY: Here.
10	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Cunningham?
11	MR. DON CUNNINGHAM: Here.
12	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Elser?
13	MR. JERRY ELSER: Here.
14	DR. BARRY COX: Ms. Fathke?
15	MS. DONNA FATHKE: Here.
16	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Franklin?
17	MR. FRANKLIN: Here.
18	DR. BARRY COX: Dr. Harrington?
19	Mr. Hopper? Mayor Kimbrough? Mr. Thomassy?
20	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Here.
21	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Turecek?
22	Mr. Levy?
23	MR. RON LEVY: Here.

1	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Brittain?
2	MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: Here.
3	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Stroud?
4	MR. PHILIP STROUD: Here.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Golden?
6	MR. SHANNON GOLDEN: Here.
7	DR. BARRY COX: Appreciate that.
8	Everybody had a chance to look at the minutes from the
9	previous meeting? Any additions or corrections to the
10	minutes? Do I hear a motion for the approval of the
11	minutes?
12	MR. DON CUNNINGHAM: So moved.
13	DR. BARRY COX: Do we have a
14	second?
15	MR. FERN THOMASSY: Here.
16	DR. BARRY COX: All in favor?
17	Minutes passed.
18	As far as our guests, if we could,
19	let's start around the side of the room, on the other
20	side of Shannon, and go
21	MR. FRANCES (inaudible): I'm
22	Frances (inaudible) with the Corps of Engineers.
0.0	

MR. ELLIS POPE: Ellis Pope with

23

- 1 the Corps of Engineers.
- 2 MR. JOSH JENKINS: Josh Jenkins
- 3 with IT Corporation.
- 4 MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Joan McKinney
- 5 with the RAB.
- 6 MR. MIKE WERGIN: My name is
- 7 Mike Wergin. I'm just visiting.
- 8 MR. BOB DAFFON: Bob Daffron,
- 9 National Guard Training Center.
- 10 MR. BILL SHANKS: Bill Shanks,
- 11 Transition Force Environmental Office.
- MS. LISA KINGSBURY: Lisa
- 13 Kingsbury, Transition Force Environmental Office.
- 14 DIANE WILKINSON: Diane Wilkinson
- 15 with the RAB.
- DR. BARRY COX: Appreciate
- everybody coming by. Mr. Levy, do we have any old
- 18 business there?
- 19 MR. RON LEVY: No, not that we're
- 20 -- not that I'm showing.
- DR. BARRY COX: Into the new
- business then. The status of landfill number three.
- MR. RON LEVY: Yeah, let me

1	introduce to you Josh Jenkins from IT Corporation.
2	Josh, back in, I think, the January RAB, also did a
3	lay-down for our sampling for landfill off-site
4	sampling for landfill three. It was a concern for the
5	RAB. I know it was a concern for Mayor Kimbrough.
6	And we proposed what we were going to do. And Josh is
7	going to update you in terms of where we're at in the
8	sampling piece. So, Josh.
9	MR. JOSH JENKINS: Okay, thanks,
10	Ron. Just to give everyone a brief overview, landfill
11	number three is located on the northwest corner of the
12	main post and it's bordered to the west by State Route
13	21. This slide shows you where the site is located.
14	The flow direction up there is
15	surface water flow. We've got surface water flow
16	going in kind of a westerly direction up there.
17	Now, as part of our work efforts,
18	we have sampled five wells. Two of those wells are
19	municipal wells, City of Weaver wells, and three of
20	those wells, proposed wells, are domestic wells.
21	The City of Weaver wells were
22	sampled May 15th. And one well owned by a
23	Mr. Medders, just across the highway, Highway 21, was

1	sampled on May 16th. Two other wells and this
2	shows the location two other wells we have proposed
3	to sample, are not yet being sampled.
4	The red wells are the wells that we
5	have sampled. The two blue wells, S-14 and S-5, are
6	wells that were proposed originally be sampled.
7	S-14 well, we found that during the
8	initial investigative effort to have some foreign
9	material in it, and therefore we thought it would not
10	be a good idea to sample that well. Wouldn't be
11	representative of the ground water formation.
12	
12	S-5, we have yet to receive access
13	S-5, we have yet to receive access to that particular location. But we are still in the
13	to that particular location. But we are still in the
13 14	to that particular location. But we are still in the process of trying to get access to that well.
13 14 15	to that particular location. But we are still in the process of trying to get access to that well. These wells were sampled to see if
13 14 15 16	to that particular location. But we are still in the process of trying to get access to that well. These wells were sampled to see if there was any contaminants associated or familiar with
13 14 15 16 17	to that particular location. But we are still in the process of trying to get access to that well. These wells were sampled to see if there was any contaminants associated or familiar with landfill number three, have reached those wells. And
13 14 15 16 17	to that particular location. But we are still in the process of trying to get access to that well. These wells were sampled to see if there was any contaminants associated or familiar with landfill number three, have reached those wells. And we have received the analytical results. No compounds
13 14 15 16 17 18	to that particular location. But we are still in the process of trying to get access to that well. These wells were sampled to see if there was any contaminants associated or familiar with landfill number three, have reached those wells. And we have received the analytical results. No compounds linked with landfill three have been detected in

there was no compounds linked to landfill three

23

1 detected in that well, either.

23

2	Now, one of the things that we were
3	looking for one of the reasons why we were looking
4	off-site to the west is because the ground water flow
5	at the landfill may indicate a westerly flow. But
6	based on our geology investigation I presented back in
7	January, we found that the structure and the bedrock,
8	where the bedrock lies and ground water flow, perhaps
9	contaminant flow, may actually be going back to the
10	landfill. So, we wanted to look off-site to the west
11	to see if there was any potential movement over there.
12	MR. RON LEVY: Josh, you might need
13	to go up there and point to
14	MR. JOSH JENKINS: Here is our
15	landfill. And we have some faults, we have some
16	bedding that are oriented from northwest to southeast.
17	So, things that may be migrating this way, if it could
18	get down into this rock unit, they may actually be
19	moving back towards the southwest or excuse me,
20	southeast, back underneath the landfill. That's what
21	we think may be happening out there.
22	So, we did sample those wells out

there. We found that there were no contaminants in

the three wells that we have sample

And in addition to those -- to that

sampling effort, we have -- we have, in our work

effort, proposed to install nine monitoring wells to

the west of the landfill. One well is on-post and

eight are off-post.

We have started wells on

Blarney Drive within the City of Weaver. Those

started May 2nd. We project to complete both wells on

or before June 1st, 2001.

Now, we have four wells that we're proposing to install in the median in Highway 21. The projected start date is June 4th, 2001 and the completion we're looking at on or before July 12th.

This is just a map showing the locations of the wells that we proposed and the wells we're working on. The wells in blue are proposed wells. These are the wells that we're currently working on. We have some drilling equipment up there. We're installing these wells. We've actually collected some soil samples and some bedrock samples. We're in the process of installing these wells.

Once we're done there, we're

1	looking to getting onto the median here, Highway 21,
2	we have four wells here.
3	We also have two wells we're going
4	to be installing on the (inaudible) property. And
5	then the last well is going to be adjacent to a well
6	that is actually on the post, but it is west of
7	landfill number three.
8	And that's pretty much it.
9	DR. BARRY COX: Questions, ladies
10	and gentlemen?
11	MR. RON LEVY: The point to be made
12	is that we really are attempting to move forward on
13	doing the sampling we had told you about, based on our
14	plan, a plan that had been through both EPA and the
15	State's review. In that although we've had some
16	difficulties gaining access, we're starting to see
17	access now become available to us. And the wells are
18	starting to the monitoring wells are actually going
19	in.
20	And there has been some sampling
21	done. There was a very significant concern on the
22	part of the Mayor about sampling the Weaver supply

wells. And which we've done that. And you can see

1	from the compounds we were looking for, that were
2	actually in the median, we didn't detect any.
3	And we still have a full sweep
4	we're trying to do there that we have results that
5	will come out of that full sweep when they're
6	complete. Am I right?
7	MR. JOSH JENKINS: Right, there are
8	still some additional analysis we're working on.
9	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Of the nine
10	wells that you're installing, how long will the
11	analysis take to get the end result on those nine
12	wells? Is this a year's period or
13	MR. JOSH JENKINS: No. Our
14	standard once we get the wells in, our standard
15	sample turn-around is twenty-eight days. And then we
16	go through a quality assurance, quality control
17	process, to validate the data, which is going to be an
18	extra few weeks. And it just it varies with the
19	quality data we get from the lab. Usually, it's very
20	good, so it only takes a couple of weeks. And we
21	should have that data back probably six to eight weeks
22	after we sample the wells.

MS. DONNA FATHKE: And how often

23

doing, yes, is to look at whether or not we have

migration. So, if a well comes up clean, you know, we

maybe say -- we may -- the thought may be that, well,

21

22

1	there isn't any migration, and it's going in a
2	different direction or it's not migrating, at all.
3	That we that will be dependent upon what data we
4	come up with. And we have to look at the entire range
5	of data, not on a well-by-well basis.
6	MS. DONNA FATHKE: But if there is
7	no contamination now, doesn't mean that there won't be
8	in a year or two. Do you see my point?
9	MR. RON LEVY: Yeah, I see your
10	point. But let me see if I can't
11	MR. ELLIS POPE: I think the team
12	will need to address the need for any long-term
13	monitoring, if long-term monitoring is required. They
14	may decide that there's not any required or they may
15	need decide that you know, there is a whole bunch
16	of wells we're going to be sampling on this round.
17	They may decide that there is a few indicator wells
18	that we can pick out to monitor at some later date.
19	And that would have to go through the BRAC cleanup
20	team here to determine that.
21	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Ellis, what
22	I'm understanding is that the scope of services for
23	these nine wells is will be a one test run, BCT

	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES 14
1	will analyze that. Those that are clean, that will be
2	it for the ones that are clean. Those that you find
3	stuff in, you'll go back and, as a team, make a
4	decision as to whether or not there needs to be
5	additional sampling at a later time. Is that fair to
6	say?
7	MR. RON LEVY: Yes. And then we
8	may you know, if we find the other part about
9	this is: If we find contamination in the wells, we'll
10	probably start looking at some modeling for some plume
11	purposes.
12	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Sure.
13	MR. JOSH JENKINS: One basic thing
14	that we will do is: We've got some data from previous
15	investigations that will help us, give us a rough idea
16	of the ground water flow rate. That may that may
17	be used, also, to determine if there is speculate,
18	to give an educated guess of how fast ground water is

DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else?

moving, how fast contaminants may be moving.

I guess next we go on to the agency

Okay. Thanks.

19

21

23

reports. Start with ADEM report there, Philip.

1	MR. PHILIP STROUD: Okay. This is
2	a new map up here. It's kind of an update. And as
3	promised this time last time I was kind of out of
4	pocket. We were working quite quick I have a new
5	list of what's been reviewed. Now, by no means is
6	this the entire list. This is still quite a bit of
7	work here. We just had an on-board, May 10th, 11th,
8	review, on-board review. And we covered give me a
9	minute right at thirty reports, up to thirty
10	reports.
11	The big thrust now what I want
12	to let people know is that we are trying to get rid
13	of a lot of clean property. We're sampling it, we're
14	analyzing, we're going through a lot of clean property
15	right now. We have some big ones out here that we're
16	going to have some future problems with, we know that.
17	You know, one thing I don't want to
18	get a perspective we're just turning over land left
19	and right. The BCT, a long time ago, even before I
20	inherited it, was designed to turn over as much
21	property as we could, initially, and that way it will
22	keep JPA busy and selling property and everything.
23	And so, a lot of this on this

1	I'm not going to hand this out, but I have it if
2	anybody wants to see it or we can get a copy of it.
3	But as what we covered this front page during the
4	on-board review, so this is really in addition to
5	this. But I haven't received any of the reports to
6	completely agree with or concur with.
7	MR. RON LEVY: Let me expand on
8	what you said. Phil said a lot of clean property. If
9	y'all remember, we did an environmental baseline
10	survey for the installation. And the intent of the
11	baseline survey really was to identify clean property
12	right up front that was immediately available for the
13	community for reuse purposes. And then after that, we
14	defined property based on categories, from a known
15	release to unevaluated. And nine eighty to ninety
16	percent of the property, you know, size, acreage-wise,
17	that we're looking at, it is unevaluated property.
18	Meaning, that there wasn't anything there that said,
19	yes there was contamination, but based on the history
20	and type of use, like a motor pool, there was a
21	potential for the possibility of contaminants having
22	been released over that time period. But there wasn't

any data to support otherwise.

1	And what we agreed to with the
2	State and EPA was that we would go in and sample and
3	evaluate that property. So, the property that we're
4	at now, that's coming out of the process, is a lot of
5	property as Phil put it, it was clean, but well,
6	it was property that was identified with the potential
7	for some contaminant there, unevaluated.
8	We've got all the data now that
9	supports that there isn't anything there, through our
10	sampling process. And so it's fallen out. It's
11	essentially, we're finding nothing.
12	Now, there is still a lot of
13	property out there that we're investigating where we
14	do have known contaminants, such as T-38, that we're
15	moving forward on. But what the BCT has been going
16	through over the last eight months or so, is looking
17	at property that's falling out because there is a lack
18	of data that supports anything other than clean.
19	And that's all been documented in
20	the SI reports that are being generated by IT and
21	being reviewed by the BCT. I'm sorry. I hope I
22	didn't steal any of your
23	MR. PHILIP STROUD: No. And that's

1	good. And that's just an explanation of where we've
2	been heading. And I'm real excited about where we're
3	heading. It's been a real interesting adventure.
4	But again, pretty much for your
5	browsing pleasure here, really give it a good look at
6	this map. These are the updates as of the 21st May of
7	2001. I told her just to go ahead and categorize that
8	so you could at least see that. But I mean, this land
9	is turned over at this time. These things are going
10	to start adding up hopefully very quickly, these
11	yellow marks.
12	Anyhow, she's good, she put the
13	proposed U. S. Fish & Wildlife service in this
14	outlined in this block here. And you can see, there
15	has been a range that's we have some form of review
16	in this range, well within this, even though I think
17	this will fall on the Charlie
18	MR. RON LEVY: Area.
19	MR. PHILIP STROUD: the Charlie
20	area EECA. And there is some little spots in here
21	we're looking at. Anyway, this is kind of how
22	following out. We'll keep, you know, evolving this
23	picture as we go, and eventually, we'll have this

So, any questions on this? Let me make one more statement as an update. We got to spend some time with the Alabama National Guard. We spent some time in the field with them, going over Pelham Range. And we are -- we're real excited to see what's really going on over there. And the field visit was worth it's weight in gold to me. Got to see quite a bit. I don't know if any of y'all have

I don't know if any of y'all have been over there yet or had an opportunity to see that beautiful place over there. Full of controversy down the road, you know, but it's -- these are ranges, so --

We covered up to nine reports that we're going over. And we got to see quite a few of these sites in the field. Just let y'all know that we're heavily involved with the Guard lately, and we will be for some time. There's some transfer that's going to be going on with them, too. Any questions on the Guard?

MR. PETE CONROY: Yeah. What are the primary issues you're dealing with?

1	MR. PHILIP STROUD: Right now, it's
2	similar to what JPA is going through. You know, it's
3	a the way I understand it right now I'm going to
4	get this ironed out but it's a fed-to-fed transfer.
5	And we're looking at certain sites that could be
6	potentially contaminated and we're going through the
7	SI and RI program to CERCLA program to go through and
8	understand it and beat it up. And hopefully we'll
9	give them a good product. And the end result should
10	be similar to what we have here.
11	MR. GLYNN RYAN: Let me correct
12	that. It's not a fed-to-fed transfer. It's an Army
13	retained.
14	MR. RON LEVY: And I could add
15	something more to that. We are just
16	MR. PETE CONROY: How is that
17	different?
18	MR. GLYNN RYAN: It's not leaving
19	the Army. The Army still owns it.
20	MR. RON LEVY: It will still be
21	encompassed
22	MR. GLYNN RYAN: Just like it is
23	today.

1	DR. BARRY COX: Even though the
2	Army owns it, we have to go through some environmental
3	
4	MR. GLYNN RYAN: Characterization
5	
6	MR. PHILIP STROUD: It's not a
7	fed-to-fed is what you're saying.
8	MR. GLYNN RYAN: Right. I mean,
9	it's not transferring. It's still Army owned. It's
10	going to stay and be retained Army.
11	MR. RON LEVY: To add to that, we
12	agreed with the Guard to do some characterization of
13	the property. It actually was agreed at the AXIN
14	(phonetic), which is at headquarters or Department of
15	Army level.
16	COURT REPORTER: At the where? I'm
17	sorry.
18	MR. RON LEVY: At the Department of
19	the Army level and TRADOC, our headquarters level, and
20	the National Guard Bureau, to do some
21	characterizations of the property before we turned it
22	over to them. And that's what we're doing.
23	Phil is involved in the reviews of

1	that. There is a number of sites out on Pelham Range
2	and on main post in their enclave. At the last BCT
3	meeting we had, which was this on-board review Phil
4	was talking about, there was nine sites, I think, a
5	total of nine sites
6	MR. PHILIP STROUD: Right.
7	MR. RON LEVY: that we were
8	looking at, both on main post and Pelham Range, that
9	we had data to support. There wasn't any further
10	action on that. There just wasn't anything there.
11	There are other sites that are
12	going on and that will continue on for some time
13	before we can conclude them and turn over the
14	characterization to the Guard.
15	Did that help any, in terms of
16	explaining what we're doing? In other words, we are
17	doing work both on Pelham Range and main post. And
18	property that will be controlled, fully controlled by
19	the Guard, even though it's Army retained.
20	DR. BARRY COX: Anybody have any
21	questions? Doyle, you want to go ahead for EPA, sir?
22	MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: I don't have
23	anything to add beyond what Phil said. You know, I've

1	been trying to hold up our part of the workload. I
2	think Phil said it very well, where we've been, what
3	we've been doing.
4	DR. BARRY COX: Miki, do you want
5	to tell us about what's happened in the JPA the last
6	month?
7	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Well, it's
8	been an interesting place to be the last month. As
9	many of you may have read in the paper, last week we
10	closed on four pieces of property. The forty-four
11	houses on the Buckner Circle area were sold, the
12	library down here at the traffic circle, the child
13	development center, Jacksonville State University will
14	be taking that facility over and operating it as a
15	child development center for the community, but also,
16	and I think more importantly, the more substantial
17	piece is moving their early childhood education
18	training to that facility, which is just a great
19	opportunity for us. And we're thrilled about that.
20	And then the Capehart houses, which
21	three hundred and eighteen of those units were sold to
22	a developer last week. That contract, we still have
23	to work the details of that contract out, but it's

1	moving along very nicely.
2	We're preparing for the phase two
3	transfer, which will happen in July. We've met with
4	our insurance company and we're getting prices on
5	getting insurance on the buildings that we choose to
6	insure.
7	Met with the utility companies,
8	finalizing the survey. There is a lot going on with
9	that, trying to make sure that we're able to take the
10	phase two transfers.
11	Approximately, three hundred and
12	eighteen buildings come to us in July. So, that's a
13	lot of buildings and a small staff to keep up with all
14	those.
15	That's about it. Be glad to answer
16	any questions.
17	DR. BARRY COX: Do you have any
18	environmental issues or concerns that
19	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: The board
20	remains concerned about the landfills. Obviously,
21	landfill number three is crucial to us, to access the

hundred acre piece that we hope to have be our

industrial area.

22

1	And then the other issues is
2	wanting to see the EE/CAs as soon as they get through
3	on the unexploded ordnance and where all that stands.
4	MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: You mentioned
5	the landfill. Which landfill?
6	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Three.
7	MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: What do you
8	propose to do there?
9	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Put an access
10	road from Highway 21 to the landing strip, basically,
11	that area.
12	MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: Build a road
13	through the landfill?
14	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Well, we're
15	trying to squeeze it in between the landfill.
16	MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: Okay.
17	MR. JOSH JENKINS: I think you want
18	to take it north of the landfill?
19	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: North of
20	number three, right. But there is another landfill on
21	the other side of where we want to go. And we
22	understand we've got to squeeze it in between the two
23	landfills.

1	So, that's very critical to us.
2	We've already lost two companies that would have gone
3	to that site.
4	MR. PETE CONROY: Y'all have a
5	meeting tomorrow morning?
6	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: No.
7	MS. DONNA FATHKE: It was last
8	week.
9	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Last week.
10	Let's don't do that to me.
11	DR. BARRY COX: Anybody have
12	anymore questions of JPA? Ron, I guess now we're up
13	to the action summary sheet, sir.
14	MR. RON LEVY: Yeah. What went out
15	in the action summary sheet, so, I just want to
16	briefly go over each one. The first point was that
17	our hunting program on main post and again, that's
18	main post. We do not control the hunting program for
19	Pelham Range, it belongs to the Alabama National Guard
20	was suspended effective the 21st of March. And it
21	was suspended for safety reasons, due to the work
22	that's going on now out in the in the undeveloped
23	area. And y'all have been briefed about the EE/CAs

- 1 that we're doing. We've got an Alpha, Bravo, and
- 2 Charlie area EE/CA. You can see them listed up here.
- 3 The Red is Alfa but, blue is Bravo, C is Charlie.
- 4 Foster Wheeler, its contractors,
- 5 RT, its subs, and IT and its subs, are very heavy into
- 6 the area right now. And it's become almost impossible
- 7 for us to do the coordination and allow hunters,
- 8 without finding somebody in the way and potentially
- 9 getting somebody hurt. So, there was a decision made
- 10 to shut the hunting program down so that we could
- 11 concentrate on the cleanup. And I believe there was a
- release to the media on that, as well.
- 13 MR. PETE CONROY: Has there been
- 14 much public response?
- MR. RON LEVY: Not that I'm aware
- 16 of.
- 17 MR. PHILIP STROUD: Not that I'm
- 18 aware of, either.
- 19 MR. RON LEVY: The second point --
- and, Pete, you're well aware of this, since you
- 21 briefed the JPA -- is that Fish & Wildlife is planning
- 22 to do some prescribed burns. It says the week of the
- 23 21st of May. That's tentative, still based upon the

1	weather conditions. The weather is paramount. So,
2	there is an expected prescribed burn. If it doesn't
3	occur this week, then it will occur in the near
4	future.
5	Tract one of the eastern bypass,
6	the middle map there, you can see in the brown area,
7	it says, tract one right on it, for the eastern
8	bypass, we did complete a FOST on that. The deed is
9	being reviewed by ALDOT, Alabama Department of
10	Transportation. We expect acceptance and its
11	signature. So, the transfer of that is very near.
12	We're saying now June, late June time frame on the
13	actual transfer. So, that's real progress.
14	We'd mentioned to you the sampling
15	of the Weaver wells as part of Josh's briefing.
16	The CWM EE/CA, that's been briefed
17	in the past to you. We began work at T-38 on the 24th
18	of April, followed T-31. And at this point, there's
19	nothing to report, in terms of anything substantial
20	coming out of that. They have not found anything at
21	this point that's unique or that's actually CWM
22	material. That's good news.

Of course, we're still up at T-38.

1	We'll be moving to T-24 Alpha shortly. But at this
2	point, we haven't found anything.
3	The LUCIP for parcel 186 went out
4	we didn't receive any comments from EPA, any
5	comments from EPA, the RAB, as well. And we had
6	provided it to the RAB at the last meeting. And so
7	we're considering that LUCIP final.
8	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Which one is
9	that?
10	MR. RON LEVY: That's T-38. You
11	reviewed it.
12	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Yes.
13	MR. RON LEVY: We incorporated the
14	comments that we did get from and we've had an
15	issue with individuals going around the barricades.
16	And that we put this point out to make sure folks
17	understood. The barricades are there for a purpose.
18	You'll see them on just about all the back roads,
19	leading out into the undeveloped portions of the
20	installation.
21	Foster Wheeler is out there doing
22	work. They will run across a round and they may blow

it in place or it could inadvertently go off. And the

1	purpose of these barricades is to keep people out.
2	We do patrol it. The City of
3	Anniston patrols. We patrol. And we will take
4	individuals to task if we catch anybody out there.
5	And the reason it's mentioned is because people have
6	moved barricades and gone around them, not realizing
7	the danger that they're putting themselves into.
8	MR. GLYNN RYAN: We've also had a
9	lot of runners and bicyclers go around these
10	barricades, because they thought it only applied to
11	cars. But it's just as unsafe if you're out there on
12	a bicycle when that explodes. So, very carefully, you
13	know, if you know someone.
14	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: What I've seen
15	happen is, I'm down at the soccer field Tuesday,
16	Thursday, and Saturday, and parents are letting their
17	kids off at the soccer field and then getting on their
18	bike and going biking when the kids are practicing.
19	And they're just going right around it.
20	MR. GLYNN RYAN: We will write a
21	ticket and try to take them to court.
22	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: I'd do
23	something about it, but I don't have any authority out

1	there.

- 2 MR. GLYNN RYAN: It is definitely
- 3 unsafe.
- 4 MR. RON LEVY: Besides it makes it
- 5 -- every time they catch somebody out there, they have
- 6 to stop work just to wait until they get out of the
- 7 area.
- 8 MR. GLYNN RYAN: It stops all of
- 9 our actions that's ongoing out there, especially, the
- 10 work.
- 11 MR. PETE CONROY: How many
- incidences over a period of a week?
- MR. RON LEVY: I don't know, but --
- MR. PETE CONROY: Guess.
- MR. GLYNN RYAN: A couple a week,
- 16 at the minimum. I mean, that's just the reported ones
- 17 that, you know, we don't --
- 18 MR. PETE CONROY: Okay --
- 19 MR. GLYNN RYAN: It's very commonly
- happening now.
- MR. PETE CONROY: Not epidemic, but
- it's still a problem.
- MR. GLYNN RYAN: Oh, yes.

1	DR. BARRY COX: Do you think
2	there's any need of a media alert or
3	MR. RON LEVY: Well, we have, we've
4	talked to the media about it. In fact, it's one of
5	the notes at the bottom there. Tried to get it in the
6	paper, as well.
7	MR. PETE CONROY: Do the barricades
8	have a clear message? I've seen some that have
9	barricades, but no message.
10	MR. RON LEVY: There is a sign on
11	top on the barricades, as well, that talks about no
12	access and the reasons why. In a lot of cases, you'll
13	see there is a graphic that shows an explosive and
14	somebody
15	MR. GLYNN RYAN: One of our
16	problems is that we have barricades that are blocking
17	unsafe areas and the JPA has barricades that are
18	blocking areas they don't want traffic into. That's a
19	good way to say it, Miki?
20	And, you know, while you're not
21	you may be breaking the law by going into the JPA
22	area, you are certainly putting your life at risk
23	going into one of the areas where we're doing UXO

1	work. And that's one of our problems.
2	DR. BARRY COX: Are the barricades
3	different for the two? Is it clear to say on yours
4	it's unexploded and their's is just a barricade?
5	MR. GLYNN RYAN: Ours will say MP
6	line or it will have a, transition force employees
7	only, or when they get into an area that is unsafe,
8	you'll have, you know, an actual explosive sign up
9	there. And we are making sure that our barricades are
10	different.
11	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: And we have
12	our roads blocked because those are roads where we do
13	not have insurance to cover those roads. The roads
14	have been determined that they're not going to be city
15	roads and probably roads that will ultimately be
16	closed, and we don't want anybody back there. I mean,
17	I would think, certainly, a story in the media
18	wouldn't hurt to reinforce it.
19	MR. PETE CONROY: Sounds reasonable
20	to me.
21	DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else?
22	Joan, any other new business? Joan, do you want to

talk about the new member selection?

1	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Yes. At last
2	month's meeting, we asked you all to find a couple of
3	other applicants for the applicant pool, because we
4	have two vacancies. And we do have two additional
5	applicants. We're prepared tonight to give you the
6	ballots and give you the nominations, if you would
7	like to vote on two members or if you altogether,
8	we only have five in the pool. But if you'd like us
9	to pass out the ballots and proceed tonight, we can do
10	that. We're prepared to do it. I didn't I wasn't
11	sure how long the meeting was going to take, and so I
12	thought, well, we'll either do it or we won't.
13	DR. BARRY COX: That covers all
14	options, doesn't it?
15	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Yes, it does.
16	It's how I like to do it, keeping two options. Now,
17	my recommendation is that we just go ahead and do it.
18	DR. BARRY COX: Comments?
19	MS. DONNA FATHKE: Sounds good to
20	me.
21	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Let's just pass
22	these out. And three are applicants that we had in
23	the pool. And I'll pass those in that direction.

1	MR. RON LEVY: Do we have enough
2	voting members? Do we have a quorum?
3	DR. BARRY COX: Do we have a
4	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: One, two,
5	three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine.
6	MR. RON LEVY: Now, remember, we're
7	not the BCT is not voting members.
8	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Right. No, I
9	didn't count you. One, two, three, four, five, six,
10	seven, eight, nine. We have a quorum.
11	DR. BARRY COX: Did you count
12	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: No, I didn't
13	count Miki. Now, you'll notice that some of the
14	ballots are a little different because we've revised
15	them over the past couple of years. Mr. Freeman,
16	Mr. Hatcher, nominations were brought in, what, last
17	year, I think, by Craig Branchfield, and maybe you'd
18	like to, for the benefit of the new members, talk a
19	little bit about those two folks.
20	THE WITNESS: Well, Larry Hatcher
21	is easy, he doesn't live here anymore, he lives in St.
22	Louis. So, I wouldn't vote for him, because I don't
23	think he'll make the trip for the monthly meeting.

1	But Lamar Freeman, ne is in numan
2	resources, and he since has also assumed the job as
3	the safety manager out at Solutia. He's lived in this
4	area of Alabama, for as best I can tell, his entire
5	life, so he has an interest in everything that's going
6	on. He's, you know, a very what's the word I'm
7	looking for? Just has a very methodic way about him,
8	as far as looking at different types of issues and
9	likes to be involved in the community. So, he's I
10	don't think you know, if the board chose to select
11	him, it wouldn't be a bad selection.
12	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Thank you. And
13	now we all know Colonel Matteson, and his application
14	is there in your stack, as well.
15	Mr. Wergin is here tonight.
16	Mr. Wergin is here, probably under a little duress, in
17	that I have been after Randy Woods to help us out,
18	since this is his district, to perhaps either he,
19	himself, or find someone that would be willing to sit
20	on the board and provide the conduit back to Randy.
21	And so, Mr. Wergin lives out at Indian Oaks, and you
22	have his nomination. Mr. Wergin, is there anything
23	you would like to add to your nomination there?

1	MR. WERGIN: No.
2	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: He didn't know
3	he was going to be on the hot seat. And then
4	Don Cunningham brought in a nominee,
5	Mr. Gary Stratton. Would you like to comment on him?
6	MR. DON CUNNINGHAM: Yeah. I'm
7	sure some of you know Gary Stratton, Lieutenant
8	Colonel, retired chemical officer. He was the chief
9	of doctrine in the chemical school for a number of
10	years. A very astute, intellect, detailed, very
11	detailed and methodical approach to things.
12	He currently is working as a
13	contractor in the local area. And the contracting
14	primarily, the status, report to the chemical school
15	and development of doctrine training materials. Also,
16	he is involved in some great detail in support of the
17	CDP work up here with the Department of Justice. So,
18	he's very actively involved and continues to be
19	involved in military affairs and matters, along with
20	
20	being very active in the community.
21	He lives in the Golden Springs
22	area. He's very interested in this kind of work that
23	we're doing here. And I highly recommend him for this

1	job.
2	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: All right.
3	MR. WERGIN: It's interesting.
4	DR. BARRY COX: Pete, wouldn't it
5	be better to vote for one and then elect one person,
6	and then let everybody vote for one out of the other
7	three? Wouldn't that be a more fair way of doing
8	this, than voting for two?
9	MR. PETE CONROY: Yeah, I think
10	there's an argument for that.
11	DR. BARRY COX: Joan.
12	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Yes, sir.
13	DR. BARRY COX: The question I
14	would have is I just mentioned it to Pete
15	wouldn't it make a better selection to vote for one of
16	these, and then we elect one and then we'd elect the
17	second one from the remaining three? Wouldn't that be
18	
19	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Yes.
20	DR. BARRY COX: better than just
21	voting for two?
22	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Okay.
23	DR. BARRY COX: I'm just asking.

1	Would that
2	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Now, if you're
3	going to vote for one
4	DR. BARRY COX: And then we'll
5	elect one. And then we'll vote again and elect the
6	second one from the remaining three.
7	MR. JERRY ELSER: Remaining four.
8	DR. BARRY COX: Remaining three.
9	Well, actually one of them
10	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: It doesn't make
11	any difference. Or can you put one and two? I mean,
12	how many the mechanics of this explain this to
13	me do I collect them and count them and come back?
14	DR. BARRY COX: Yeah.
15	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Do you want me
16	to do that?
17	MR. RON LEVY: Didn't you, at one
18	time, the last time we voted, you actually
19	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: I think we
20	voted for two the last time.
21	DR. BARRY COX: We did, yeah, we
22	did, and I thought it
23	MR. RON LEVY: What you did,

1	though, is you numerically
2	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: One and two.
3	MR. RON LEVY: One, two, three,
4	four, you just and then she was able to go back to
5	all the number ones and she'd add up and
6	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Right.
7	MR. RON LEVY: and all the
8	number twos, and whichever came up the highest, then
9	she'd choose
10	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Yeah, I feel
11	like I'm in Florida.
12	MR. RON LEVY: We can punch them
13	with chads.
14	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Whatever you
15	want to do. I'm just here to support it. You got the
16	papers in front of you. You decide how you want to
17	vote. I can count.
18	MR. RON LEVY: You just vote for
19	two individuals and then she goes back and adds up and
20	the ones that
21	DR. BARRY COX: True. But
22	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: You wanted to

get the first -- I mean, we do have two vacancies,

- 1 it's not like we just have one.
- DR. BARRY COX: Correct. But as 2
- far as the -- I'm not a statistician, it's too late in 3
- 4 the day, anyhow, but it just seemed that that would
- 5 give you a clearer choice of the --
- MS. DONNA FATHKE: I don't think it
- 7 will make that much difference.
- 8 DR. BARRY COX: Well, in the final
- analysis, it probably doesn't anyhow, but I don't want 9
- 10 to complicate people lives, if we want to do it
- quickly and have one vote, that's all right with me 11
- 12 there.
- 13 MR. PETE CONROY: The amount of
- time we would have saved was just lost on this. 14
- 15 DR. BARRY COX: Right.
- MR. RON LEVY: Just the science of 16
- small numbers or what? 17
- 18 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Besides, I've
- 19 already marked my ballot.
- DR. BARRY COX: I knew you were 20
- 21 arguing for some reason.
- 22 MS. JOAN McKINNEY: What do we do,
- 23 mark one and two? Is that what we did?

1	MR. PETE CONROY: Let's do it.
2	MR. JERRY ELSER: One and two or
3	check marks?
4	MR. PETE CONROY: One and two.
5	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Do I have all
6	of the ballots? Do I have everyone's ballots?
7	And the other topic that I wanted
8	to mention was next month's community meeting. Do we
9	have any thoughts on where you'd like to go in the
10	community? Since Mayor Kimbrough is not here, shall
11	we call Mayor Kimbrough and ask him
12	(WHEREUPON, there was discussion off the record.)
13	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: You know, he
14	always hosts us once a year. And we did have we're
15	kind of not on the same schedule we were last year,
16	and I'll tell you, I did that intentionally, because
17	if you'll remember, last August if we had kept in
18	synch, it would have been August. In August we had
19	very low turnout in membership because of vacation and
20	also visitors. So, I just thought we'd go after Labor
21	Day in September. I kind of made that little decision
22	without the membership. But that's and besides, I
23	forgot. But this probably worked out better. Any

	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES 43
1	thoughts for next month? Shall we approach
2	Mayor Kimbrough
3	MR. PETE CONROY: Weaver.
4	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Weaver.
5	MR. CRAIG BRANCHFIELD: Well, is
6	there going to be any new information on the ground
7	water next month? Seems to me like the Mayor has got
8	a lot of concerns with the ground water migrating
9	towards Weaver and whenever there's going to be
10	MR. PETE CONROY: The information
11	presented today was probably worth repeating.
12	MR. ELLIS POPE: There won't be any
13	additional analytical data at that meeting.
14	MR. CRAIG BRANCHFIELD: Which
15	wasn't to say not to do it in Weaver, I'm just saying,
16	if we're going to do one in Weaver some time, it might
17	be better when there is something, you know and
18	maybe the data you presented today is enough to
19	warrant going over that again.
20	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Would there be
21	something in September? Maybe we wouldn't impose on
22	him in June and maybe September.
23	MR. ELLIS POPE: We should have the

1	well sample by then, I would think.
2	MR. JOSH JENKINS: When? August is
3	
4	MR. ELLIS POPE: September.
5	MR. JOSH JENKINS: Oh, September?
6	MR. ELLIS POPE: Yeah.
7	MR. JOSH JENKINS: Absolutely.
8	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Okay, then
9	we'll go ask him if he would host us in September.
10	And next month
11	MR. PETE CONROY: I thought we made
12	it.
13	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Not quite.
14	Good thought. You know, there's a site and I'll
15	have to look into this the county administration
16	building extension service has an auditorium that's
17	very nice. We've used that for other meetings of
18	other areas. I could look into that, as well, or
19	there is always the Anniston Meeting Center.
20	You know, we tried Golden Springs,
21	the community center last summer, that's where we went
22	last August, thinking that it would be helpful for
23	folks who lived down there that would be affected by

1	the eastern bypass. But we did not have much public
2	we didn't have any public attendance. The whole
3	purpose of going out was to get public attendance.
4	DR. BARRY COX: And our track
5	record has not been good over the years.
6	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: It's not been
7	good. We've put it in the paper, it's just not
8	something that we can
9	MR. RON LEVY: We even tried to do
10	it down in the mall in, hoping we'd catch people
11	coming
12	MR. PETE CONROY: Anywhere on the
13	base you'd like to have us, other than here?
14	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Yeah, do you
15	have any other sites? We have a little time. If you
16	all, though, will just kind of trust us to figure one
17	out, and if you all don't have a suggestion, we'll get
18	you to an easy location. We've tried, you know, the
19	churches, we've been most.
20	MS. DONNA FATHKE: One comment on
21	Golden Springs is, it might be best not to do a
22	community one in August. I mean, I don't think we'll
23	get a lot of public participation in that month. The

1	other thing, too, is that I think people are a lot
2	more aware, now that the bypass is upon them. And we
3	may want to try that area again, because we might get
4	better response.
5	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Okay.
6	MS. DONNA FATHKE: Since people
7	people know where it's going now.
8	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Right.
9	MS. DONNA FATHKE: They know which
10	houses are going to disappear.
11	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Right, the
12	survey lines.
13	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: And the trees
14	MR. PETE CONROY: The trees.
15	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: The trees.
16	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: The trees are
17	shaking people's chains.
18	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: All right.
19	Let's see if that's available. And if it's not
20	available on the night we need it, then what do y'all
21	think of the Oxford Civic Center? I can call back.
22	But probably the Golden Springs

DR. BARRY COX: Sure.

	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES 47
1	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: room will be
2	available.
3	DR. BARRY COX: The last time we
4	met at Oxford, I don't think we had a single visitor,
5	did we?
6	MR. PETE CONROY: No.
7	DR. BARRY COX: Not one.
8	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Complacent
9	community. Thank you.
10	DR. BARRY COX: Appreciate it.
11	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: I'm going to
12	count the numbers.
13	MR. RON LEVY: I think you just
14	need to advertise free food in your letters.
15	DR. BARRY COX: Any other new
16	business? Do we have any audience comments? Well, do
17	I hear a motion for adjournment?
18	MS. DONNA FATHKE: So moved.
19	MR. JERRY ELSER: I second.
20	DR. BARRY COX: All in favor?

Thank you for coming.

(WHEREUPON, the meeting was concluded.)

23

21

Τ	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF ALABAMA)
3	CALHOUN COUNTY)
4	
5	I, SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court
6	Reporter and Notary Public in and for The State of
7	Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and qualified,
8	HEREBY CERTIFY that this proceeding was taken before
9	me, then was by me reduced to shorthand, afterwards
10	transcribed upon a computer, and that the foregoing is
11	a true and correct transcript of the proceeding to the
12	best of my ability.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY this proceeding
14	was taken at the time and place and was concluded
15	without adjournment.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES 48

1	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
2	set my hand and affixed my seal at Anniston, Alabama,
3	on this the 28th of May, 2001.
4	
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE
10	Notary Public in and for
11	Alabama at Large
12	
13	
14	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 11-14-2001.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES 49